

Low molecular weight dicarboxylic acids in background atmospheric aerosol

Li-Ying Hsieh¹, Ying I. Tsai², Tzu-Hsiang Weng², Su-Ching Kuo³ and Chien-Lung Chen⁴

¹Department of Chemistry, National Cheng Kung University, 1, University Rd., Tainan 701, Taiwan

²Department of Environmental Engineering and Science, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science, 60, Sec. 1, Erh-Jen Rd., Jen-Te, Tainan 717, Taiwan

³Department of Applied Chemistry, Chia Nan University of Pharmacy and Science, 60, Sec. 1, Erh-Jen Rd., Jen-Te, Tainan 717, Taiwan

⁴Department of Finance, Fortune Institute of Technology, No. 1-10, Nwongchang Rd., Daliao, Kaohsiung 831, Taiwan

Keywords: background aerosol, dicarboxylic acids, trajectory

1. Introduction

Dicarboxylic acids, ubiquitous in the troposphere, are important organics resulting from the marine pathway, fossil fuel and biomass burning, cooking, forest biosources and anthropogenic emissions. Low molecular weight dicarboxylic acids (low- M_w DCAs) are late products in the photochemistry of hydrocarbons. In this paper, in addition to water-soluble inorganic composition, the presence and characteristics of low- M_w DCA in background atmospheric aerosol in Taiwan are investigated.

2. Experimental

Background atmospheric $PM_{2.5}$ aerosol was collected in Ali Mountain in central Taiwan on 7 and 25 September 2005 using a Versatile Air Pollutant Sampler (VAPS, URG-3000K) with a calibrated flow of 15.0 ± 0.1 L min^{-1} . The low- M_w DCA and water-soluble inorganic ionic concentrations of the aqueous extracts were determined by ion chromatography (IC, Dionex). Laboratory and field samples were analyzed by a slightly modified version of the method of Hsieh et al (2007).

3. Results and discussion

The results (Table 1) show that oxalic acid was the most abundant low- M_w DCA, followed by succinic acid and malonic acid and that their concentrations in a background location were less than in a polluted one. These three DCAs comprised >85 % of the total diacid concentration (260 ng m^{-3}), with oxalic acid alone comprising 57 % of the total. They also comprised 0.959 % of the $PM_{2.5}$ aerosol mass, of which tartaric acid was the most minor species (0.05 % of $PM_{2.5}$ mass) and sulfate was the major species (15.1 % of $PM_{2.5}$ mass). Indeed, concentration of SO_4^{2-} in background atmospheric aerosol reached roughly 15.8 times that of oxalic acid.

The mass concentration ratio of malonic acid / succinic acid (M:S mass ratio) of 0.3-0.5 indicates an overwhelming contribution from a traffic emissions origin for these dicarboxylic acids whereas a ratio > 1.0 indicates an origin from photochemical reactions associated with elevated concentrations of oxidants and therefore a sourcing from secondary reactions. The M:S mass ratio in this background study was 0.44 (Table 1), indicating that the background mountain aerosol was probably affected by long-

distance primary vehicle exhaust. Typical backward trajectories for air parcels that affected this aerosol (Fig. 1) supported this, showing that air passing through significant sources of urban vehicular exhaust – Taipei (with a population of approximately 4.2 million) and Taichung (with a population of approximately 1.5 million) – subsequently travelled to the site of background aerosol collection.

Table 1. Mean sulfate and low- M_w DCAs of background atmospheric $PM_{2.5}$ aerosol

Species	Mean	SD	Ratio
$PM_{2.5}$ mass ($\mu g m^{-3}$)	15.34	5.57	
Sulfate ($\mu g m^{-3}$)	2.32 (15.1 %) ^a	1.23	
Succinic acid (ng m^{-3})	52.86 (0.345%)	16.24	
Malonic acid (ng m^{-3})	23.25 (0.152%)	5.51	
Maleic acid (ng m^{-3})	14.76 (0.096%)	5.63	
Tartaric acid (ng m^{-3})	7.51 (0.049%)	3.08	
Malic acid (ng m^{-3})	14.75 (0.096%)	7.25	
Oxalic acid (ng m^{-3})	147.1 (0.959%)	39.8	
Sulfate/Oxalic acid			15.77
Malonic acid/Succinic acid			0.44

a. Estimated av. % by $PM_{2.5}$ mass



Figure 1. Backward trajectories for air parcels that affected the Mt. Ali. (a) daytime; (b) nighttime.

Acknowledgements

This research was financially supported by the National Science Council, Taiwan, ROC, under Contract Numbers NSC 91-2211-E-041-006 and NSC 93-2211-E-041-003.

References

Hsieh, L.-Y., Kuo, S.-C., Chen, C.-L., & Tsai, Y.I. (2007). *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 6648-6661.